

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,568	02/24/2004	David Levy	1237.01A	9846
29637 7:	590 04/15/2005		EXAMINER	
BUSKOP LAW GROUP, P.C.			HEWITT, JAMES M	
1776 YORKTO	)WN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77056			3679	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/785,568	LEVY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James M Hewitt	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9, 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/25/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

## Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The type of application is an "original" and not a "continuation" as indicated. A "continuation" application is based from a non-provisional application, and not a provisional application. See MPEP 201.07.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 2 of paragraph [00035], "tress" should be "trees".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-13 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 6, "assembly" should be inserted after "ferrule".

In claim 1 line 13, "a" should be replaced with "an".

In claim 1 line 14, should "tube" be "front ferrule"?

In claim 1 line 16, it is unclear how a downstream seal is formed between the front ferrule and the tube. Should "front" ferrule" be "rear ferrule"?

In claim 1 lines 19-22, the phrase "wherein the coupling nut...against the pressurizable part and the tube." seems incorrect. The nut only tightens against the rear ferrule to tighten the front ferrule and lifting mechanism. And only the front ferrule is compressed against the pressurizable part. The phrase should be reworded to accurately reflect the invention.

In claim 2 line 2, "1/8" should be "1/16".

In claim 7 line 1, "the pressure" lacks sufficient antecedent basis. What pressure?

In claim 9 line 3, a period should be inserted after "packers".

In claim 15 line 1, "step of sliding" should be deleted.

In claim 15 line 1, "over the tube uses" should be replaced with "is".

In claim 15 line 2, "over the tube" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barree (US 4,776,618).

Page 4

With respect to claim 14, Barree discloses a method for using a tubular connector with a pressurizable part comprising the steps of: sliding a coupling nut (10) over a tube (20); sliding a rear ferrule (30) over the tube; sliding a front ferrule (50) over the tube; sliding a lifting component (40) over the tube forming a ferrule assembly over the tube; inserting the tube into a pressurizable part (70); and applying pressure with a coupling nut to the ferrule assembly forming an upstream seal and a downstream seal between the tube and the pressurizable part.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3679

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barree (US 4,776,618).

Barree discloses a self-energizing tube connector for engaging a pressurizable part (70) comprising: a tube (20) comprising an upstream tube end and a downstream tube end; a ferrule assembly comprising a front ferrule (50), a rear ferrule (30), a lifting component (40), wherein the lifting component slidingly engages the front ferrule to cause an upstream seal to form between the pressurizable part and the front ferrule (refer to Figure 1), and a downstream seal between the rear ferrule and the tube (refer to Figure 1); a coupling nut (10) for sliding over the tube and disposed downstream of the rear ferrule for engaging the pressurizable part, wherein the coupling nut is adapted to tighten against the rear ferrule to compress the rear ferrule, the front ferrule and the lifting mechanism (see Figure 1). Barree fails to explicitly teach that his tube is capable of sustaining pressures up to 50,000 psi without deforming. Note however that Barree's tube is of the same outside diameter as the tube claimed in Applicant's invention, and is used in a high pressure environment. Given this, and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a tube that is capable of sustaining pressures up to 50,000 psi without deforming.

Page 6

With respect to claims 2 and 3, refer to col. 3 lines 15-18.

With respect to claim 4, refer to col. 3 lines 19-28.

With respect to claim 5, refer to refer to col. 3 lines 19-28.

With respect to claim 6, the cross-hatching provided in Figure 1 indicates that the pipe is metal.

With respect to claim 7, the pipe is said to withstand high pressures. Barree does not disclose the claimed range. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the claimed pressure range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to claim 8, wherein the coupling nut comprises a threaded engagement with the pressurizable part.

With respect to claim 9, Barree's pressurizable part (7)) is a coupling nut. But given the applications in which Barree's device is known to be used, it should be understood that Barree's coupling could constitute part of one of a down hole safety valve, a plurality of chemical injection assemblies, a plurality of tubing hangers, a plurality of blowout preventors, a plurality of subsea Christmas trees, or a plurality of packers.

With respect to claim 12, wherein the front ferrule comprises a conical shape for engaging the pressurizable part. See Figure 1.

Page 7

With respect to claims 13 and 15, Barree fails to teach that the coupling nut is a jam nut. However, given that Applicant states that a compression nut or similar compression device could be used, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to employ a jam nut as Barree's coupling nut.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Note that the allowability of claims 10 and 11 is also contingent upon overcoming the above-noted objections to claim 1. See *Claim Objections* above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hewitt whose telephone number is 571-272-7084. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 930am-600pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,568 Page 8

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES M. HEWITT PRIMARY EXAMINER